



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,783	06/17/2005	Thomas Schindler	331.1093	4932
23280	7590	04/23/2007	EXAMINER	
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC			SINGH, ARTI R	
485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10018			1771	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,783	SCHINDLER, THOMAS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ms. Arti Singh	1771

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 14-26 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 14-26 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/17/05

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. The preliminary amendments to the specification and claims have been entered, leaving claims 14-26 under prosecution.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 06/17/05 has been considered and is being remitted herewith.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
4. In the amended abstract, line 2, word 4, should the word be foaming -not forming? Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
6. Claims 15, 17, 19, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15 what exactly (numerically) is the distance? And what would it be for a woven? And then a nonwoven?

With regard to claims 17, 22 and 23 what exactly does Applicant desire in these claims. Dtex is the linear density of a yarn, and is not a measure of thickness. Do you mean basis weight or are you just claiming that the fibers have a denier of 1 dtex and 250 dtex?

With regard to Claim 19 please use proper Markush language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 14, 16, 18, 19, and 22-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 5599420 issued to Yeo et al.

9. Yeo et al disclose making patterned (embossed) nonwoven fabrics having a cloth like feel and an aesthetic design used for cleaning applications. The fabric comprises polymeric strands, which are crimped, continuous filaments (fiber length longer than 90mm). The filaments have 5- 15 crimps per inch (column 6, lines 35-50). The filaments may be polyolefin, polyester or polyamides (column 8, lines 19-29). With regard to the method limitations of claims 24-26, Yeo et al disclose that the filaments are continuous and spun and then collected on a surface, sprayed with a binder that then heated (column 9+).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims 17, 22, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5599420 issued to Yeo et al.

12. Yeo et al teaches what is set forth above but do not specifically teach that the dtex of the filaments are 1-250 dtex. However, a skilled artisan in the art of cleaning products

Art Unit: 1771

specifically wipes and cleaning scrubbers, would have found it obvious to have employ a filament having a titer of 1-250dtex in the laminate of Yeo et al. One would have been motivated to do so in order to provide a scrubber that is light in weight.

13. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yeo et al as set forth above as applied to claims 14-19 and 23-26 above, and further in view of 2005/0130568 issued to Welygan et al.

14. Yeo et al teach what is set forth above but do not disclose using natural or cotton fibers in their laminate. Welygan remedy this.

15. Welygan et al teaches that it is well known in the art of cleaning products to use natural fibers like cotton. Therefore a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to use cotton or natural fibers in the nonwoven of Yeo et al. One would have been motivated to do so as these types of fibers are inherently hydrophilic and would draw the water/cleaning fluid as one was using the scrubber. Additionally, such fibers are easily recyclable and environment friendly.

Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-1483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 9-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Ms. Arti Singh
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1771

ars